

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/717,306	11/22/2000	John Petter Fjeldstad	1380-0153P 8435		
2292 7	590 . 10/23/2002				
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	VART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
	CH, VA 22040-0747	LYONS, MICHAEL A .			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		
•			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N			- 1/1K	
-	~	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/717,306		FJELDSTAD ET AL.		
	Survey is a summary	Examin r		Art Unit		
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Michael A. Lyo	ns	2877		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	correspond nce add	lress	
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho within the statutory n	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con	nmunication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)[		— · s action is non-	final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Disposition	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	merits is	
4)🖂	Claim(s) $1-9$ is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from conside	ration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ement			
Application	on Papers	7				
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>22 November 2000</u> is/are	e: a) 🗌 accepted	l or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[_] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approv	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		ction.			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).		
a)⊠	〗All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	eived.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	eived in Application	n No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents ha	ave been received	in this National Sta	эge	
14) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. & 119(e)	(to a provisional an	anlination)	
a) (	☐ The translation of the foreign language provice knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional applicati	on has been recei	ved ·	рисацоп).	
) Notice (	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5. 6.</u>	4)	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s). ent Application (PTO-1	· 52)	
Patent and Trade O-326 (Rev.	04.04)	on Summary		Dort of Do	iner No. 8	

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: element 110 referring to a generator. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).
  - "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 11, the spacer portion of Figures 4-6 is labeled as element 39, whereas in the figures themselves, as well as in the claims, the spacer portion is labeled as element 30.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice.

They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the two light waves", "the light source", and "the coherent object light" throughout the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. What do the two light waves, the light source, and the coherent object light refer to?

Claim 2 recites the limitations "the coherent light source", "the endpoints", and "the recording medium" in lines 3, 6, and 8, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. What does the coherent light source, the endpoints, and the recording medium refer to?

Art Unit: 2877

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship between the single-mode light guidance cables running from the light source to the probe, from the probe to the camera, and from the light source to the camera. The reference numerals to elements within the drawings and the specification carry no patentable weight and therefore render the claim indefinite.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship between the single mode light guidance cables and the optical connectors. The reference numerals to elements within the drawings and the specification carry no patentable weight and therefore render the claim indefinite.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship between the optical connectors and the single-mode light guidance cables. The reference numerals to elements within the drawings and the specification carry no patentable weight and therefore render the claim indefinite.

Claim9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship between the single-mode light guidance cables and the source of coherent light. The

Art Unit: 2877

reference numerals to elements within the drawings and the specification care no patentable weight and therefore render the claim indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horn (5,339,152).

Regarding claim 1, Horn discloses in the figure a light source 8 and a registering medium 19, and a stress-inducing transducer 4 with portion 6 (Col. 4, paragraphs 2 and 3) for creating a stress wave at the object. The location of the registering medium in a protected comfortable environment, such as a protective housing, for protection of the medium, in addition to the use of single-mode light guidance cables, such as fiber optic cables, for light transmittal, is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a housing and fiber optic cables to the device of Horn to achieve the desired effect.

As for claim 3, Horn states in column 4, lines 40-43 that "any means which may be controlled for the purpose of applying stress to the surface are intended to be included within the scope of the invention." This statement can be read to include the use of an electric high current pulse for the release of stresses into the test object.

As for claim 4, providing a protective environment for the use of amorphous molecular semiconductors is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2877

Claims 5 and 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horn (5,339,152) in view of Rockstroh (6,094,260).

Regarding claim 5, Horn discloses in the figure a probe 1 containing a window 3 for illuminating the object 23 with light, a mirror 2 for sending scattered light back through the probe towards camera 19, and transducer 4 for creating stress in the object. While Horn's device uses a window and a mirror, it is well known in the art to use optical fibers for light transmittal through the probe and throughout the device as a whole.

Horn also discloses a camera 19 with a CCD (col. 4, line 16) at focal plane 15 for the formation, registration, and development of the hologram and formation of the interferogram.

Horn's device, however, fails to disclose a reference beam passing directly from the light source into the camera. Rockstroh teaches (Fig. 1) sending a light beam 11 from light source 6 through beam splitter 12 to form reference beam 16 that travels directly to camera 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a beam splitter in the device of Horn as per Rockstroh to facilitate the interference of a reference beam and a measurement beam for the creation of an interferogram. Although Horn's device originally uses beam splitter 21 to create an inphase beam and an out of phase beam out of the measurement light for the purpose of interference (col. 4, line 7-13), the beam splitter of Rockstroh could be inserted at the location of prism 16 in the device of Horn to achieve the desired effect.

As for claim 7, Horn claims probe 1 (Col. 6, claim 6) as a cylinder.

As for claim 9, Rockstroh discloses a beam splitter 12 for splitting the light into reference and measurement beams, while using fiber optic cables connected to a device with an optical connector is well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/717,306 Page 7

Art Unit: 2877

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 6, and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Lyons whose telephone number is 703-305-1933. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G Font can be reached on 703-308-4877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0725 for regular communications and 703-308-0725 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0935.

MAL October 21, 2002

> Samuel A. Turner Primary Examiner